

1 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

A Limited Liability Partnership

2 Including Professional Corporations

MICHAEL H. AHRENS, Cal. Bar No. 44766

3 STEVEN B. SACKS, Cal. Bar No. 98875

TIMOTHY C. PERRY, Cal. Bar No. 248543

4 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-4106

5 Telephone: 415-434-9100

Facsimile: 415-434-3947

6 Email: mahrens@sheppardmullin.com

ssacks@sheppardmullin.com

7 terry@sheppardmullin.com

8 Attorneys for The Billing Resource, dba
Integretel

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 SAN JOSE DIVISION

12
13 In re:

Civ. Case No. C-07-5758-JW

14 THE BILLING RESOURCE, dba
15 Integretel, a California Corporation,

Bk Case No. 07-52890

16 Debtor.

17 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Adv. Pro. No. 07-05156

18 Movant,

19
20 v.

21 THE BILLING RESOURCE, dba
22 Integretel, a California corporation,

Date: September 8, 2008

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Court: Hon. James Ware

23 Respondent.

24 **JOINT STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT**

1 Defendant-appellant the Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”), defendant-
2 appellant David Chase, the Receiver appointed by the United States District Court for the
3 Southern District of Florida in *FTC v. Nationwide Connections, Inc.*, No. 06-CV-80180-
4 Ryskamp/Vitunac (S.D. Fla.) (the “Receiver”), and debtor-plaintiff-appellee The Billing
5 Resource dba Integretel (“Integretel”) (collectively referred to herein as the “Parties”)
6 hereby jointly submit the following status conference statement:

7 1. Counsel for Integretel, the Commission, and the Receiver have negotiated a
8 settlement of this dispute, including the appeals and motions pending before this Court (the
9 “Proposed Settlement”) and are proceeding to fulfill the conditions to effectuating this
10 settlement. The Proposed Settlement has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court but has
11 not yet been approved by the Commissioners of the FTC or the Southern District of
12 Florida District Court. The Proposed Settlement is also conditioned on closing of a sale of
13 the operating assets of TBR, which has not yet occurred. Accordingly the parties are not
14 yet able to determine whether they will be able to file a stipulated dismissal of the
15 proceedings pending before this Court.

16 2. The Parties respectfully request that the Court continue the status conference
17 for approximately 45 days to allow the Parties to determine if they can finalize the
18 settlement and file a stipulated dismissal.

19 Dated: August 29, 2008

20 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
21
22

23 By

/s/ STEVEN B. SACKS

24 STEVEN B. SACKS

25 Attorneys for Debtor THE BILLING RESOURCE,
26 dba INTEGRETTEL
27
28

1 Dated: August 29, 2008

2 DANNING, GILL, DIAMOND & KOLLITZ

3
4 By /s/ Walter Oetzell¹
5 WALTER OETZELL

6 Attorneys for David Chase, as Receiver

7 Dated: August 29, 2008

8
9
10 By /s/ Michael P. Mora
11 MICHAEL P. MORA

12 Attorney for The Federal Trade Commission
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ¹ Pursuant to General Order 45, § X(B), Steven B. Sacks attests that the signatories'
28 concurrence in the filing of this document have been obtained.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of San Francisco; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111-4109.

On August 29, 2008, I served the following document described as

JOINT STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT

on the interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes and/or packages addressed as follows:

Receiver David R. Chase
c/o Danning, Gill, Diamond & Kollitz LLP
Attn: Walter Oetzell
2029 Century Park East, Third Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2904

Federal Trade Commission
Attn: Michael P. Mora
600 Pennsylvania Avenue,
NW, Room 286
Washington, DC 20580

- ☒ **BY MAIL:** I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Francisco, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- ☐ **BY HAND DELIVERY:** I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the office of the addressee(s).
- ☐ **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL:** I caused said documents to be transmitted via Electronic Mail to the parties on the attached Service List.
- ☒ **FEDERAL:** I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 29, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Christina Berzaman
Christina Berzaman